United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/042,321 | 01/11/2002 | Takeya Miwa | Q68046 | 4431 |
| 23373 | 7590 01/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | FIGUEROA, FELIX O | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC 20037 | 2833 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/042,321 | MIWA, TAKEYA | | | |
| Advicery Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Felix O. Figueroa | 2833 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of th | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extendar CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: the new language requires further search | h, consideration and explantion. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | , | | | | |
| Adi O. A. B | | RENEE LUEBKE PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | |